



HAL
open science

PROBABILISTIC ARZELA-ASCOLI THEOREM

Mohammed Bachir, Nazaret Bruno

► **To cite this version:**

Mohammed Bachir, Nazaret Bruno. PROBABILISTIC ARZELA-ASCOLI THEOREM. 2019. hal-02112322v1

HAL Id: hal-02112322

<https://paris1.hal.science/hal-02112322v1>

Preprint submitted on 26 Apr 2019 (v1), last revised 7 Jul 2019 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PROBABILISTIC ARZELA-ASCOLI THEOREM

MOHAMMED BACHIR, BRUNO NAZARET

ABSTRACT. We prove that, in the space of all probabilistic continuous functions from a probabilistic metric space G to the set Δ^+ of all cumulative distribution functions vanishing at 0, the space of all 1-Lipschitz functions is compact if and only if the space G is compact. This gives a probabilistic Arzela-Ascoli type Theorem.

Keywords: Probabilistic metric space; Probabilistic 1-Lipschitz map; Probabilistic Arzela-Ascoli type Theorem.

msc: 54E70, 46S50.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Classical Notions of Probabilistic Metric Spaces.	2
2.1. Triangle function and probabilistic metric space	2
2.2. Lévy distance, weak convergence and compactness	4
3. Some Properties of Probabilistic continuous and 1-Lipschitz map	4
4. The main result: Probabilistic Arzela-Ascoli theorem	6
References	9

1. Introduction

The general concept of probabilistic metric spaces was introduced by K. Menger, who dealt with probabilistic geometry [9], [10], [11]. The decisive influence on the development of the theory of probabilistic metric spaces is due to B. Schweizer and A. Sklar and their coworkers in several papers [13], [14], [15], [16], see also [18], [19] [6], [4] and [5]. For more informations about this theory we refer to the excellent monograph [12].

Recently, the first author introduced in [1] a natural concept of probabilistic Lipschitz maps defined from a probabilistic metric space G into the set of all cumulative distribution functions that vanish at 0, classically denoted by Δ^+ . In particular, the introduction of the space of all probabilistic 1-Lipschitz maps provides a new method for the completion of probabilistic metric spaces extending a result of H. Sherwood in [19]. It also leads to a probabilistic version of the Banach-Stone theorem (see for instance [1] and [2]).

Date: 05/03/2019.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54E70, 46S50.

In this paper, we investigate new properties of the space of all 1-Lipschitz probabilistic maps defined on probabilistic compact metric spaces. More precisely, we give in our main Theorem 1 a probabilistic Arzela-Ascoli theorem, proving that the space of all 1-Lipschitz probabilistic maps is a compact subset of the space of all probabilistic continuous functions equipped with the uniform modified Lévy distance that we introduce in the next section.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall classical results and notions about probabilistic metric spaces, triangle functions, the Lévy distance and the weak convergence. In Section 3, we recall the notion of probabilistic Lipschitz maps and probabilistic continuous functions introduced in [1]. We also give some properties related to these notions. In Section 4, we prove the Theorem 1, which is our main result.

2. Classical Notions of Probabilistic Metric Spaces.

In this section, we recall some general well know definitions and concepts about probabilistic metric spaces, as they can be found in [4], [12], [6] and [7].

A (cumulative) distribution function is a function $F : [-\infty, +\infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, nondecreasing and left-continuous with $F(-\infty) = 0$; $F(+\infty) = 1$. The set of all distribution functions satisfying $F(0) = 0$ will be denoted by Δ^+ . For $F, G \in \Delta^+$, the relation $F \leq G$ is understood as $F(t) \leq G(t)$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the distribution function \mathcal{H}_a is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_a(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq a \\ 1 & \text{if } t > a, \end{cases}$$

and, for $a = +\infty$, by

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [-\infty, +\infty[\\ 1 & \text{if } t = +\infty \end{cases}$$

It is well known that (Δ^+, \leq) is a complete lattice with respectively \mathcal{H}_∞ and \mathcal{H}_0 as minimal and maximal element. Thus, for any nonempty set I and any family $(F_i)_{i \in I}$ of distributions in Δ^+ , the function $F = \sup_{i \in I} F_i$ is also an element of Δ^+ .

2.1. Triangle function and probabilistic metric space.

Definition 1. A binary operation τ on Δ^+ is called a triangle function if and only if it is commutative, associative, non-decreasing in each place, and has \mathcal{H}_0 as neutral element. In other words:

- (i) $\tau(F, L) \in \Delta^+$ for all $F, L \in \Delta^+$.
- (ii) $\tau(F, L) = \tau(L, F)$ for all $F, L \in \Delta^+$.
- (iii) $\tau(F, \tau(L, K)) = \tau(\tau(F, L), K)$, for all $F, L, K \in \Delta^+$.
- (iv) $\tau(F, \mathcal{H}_0) = F$ for all $F \in \Delta^+$.
- (v) $F \leq L \implies \tau(F, K) \leq \tau(L, K)$ for all $F, L, K \in \Delta^+$.

Definition 2. A triangle function τ is said to be sup-continuous (see for instance [3]) if for all nonempty set I and all family $(F_i)_{i \in I}$ of distributions in Δ^+ and all $L \in \Delta^+$, we have

$$\sup_{i \in I} \tau(F_i, L) = \tau(\sup_{i \in I} F_i, L).$$

For simplicity of notations, in all what follows, the triangle function τ will be denoted by the binary operation \star as follows:

$$\tau(L, K) := L \star K.$$

It follows from the axioms (i)-(iv) that (Δ^+, \star) is an abelian monoid having \mathcal{H}_0 as a neutral element.

Definition 3. Let \star be a triangle function on Δ^+ .

(1) A sequence (F_n) of distributions in Δ^+ converges weakly to a function F in Δ^+ if $(F_n(t))$ converges to $F(t)$ at each point t of continuity of F . In this case, we write indifferently $F_n \xrightarrow{w} F$ or $\lim_n F_n = F$.

(2) We say that the law \star is continuous at $(F, L) \in \Delta^+ \times \Delta^+$ if we have $F_n \star L_n \xrightarrow{w} F \star L$, whenever $F_n \xrightarrow{w} F$ and $L_n \xrightarrow{w} L$.

A classical class of triangle functions, that are both continuous and sup-continuous, is provided by operations taking the form : for all $F, L \in \Delta^+$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(F \star_T L)(t) := \sup_{s+u=t} T(F(s), L(u)), \quad (1)$$

where $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, usually called a triangular norm (see [12, 4]), is left-continuous and satisfies

- $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$ (commutativity);
- $T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z)$ (associativity);
- $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$ whenever $y \leq z$ (monotonicity) ;
- $T(x, 1) = x$ (boundary condition).

Definition 4. Let G be a set and let $D : G \times G \rightarrow (\Delta^+, \star, \leq)$ be a map. We say that (G, D, \star) is a probabilistic metric space if the following axioms hold:

- (i) $D(p, q) = \mathcal{H}_0$ iff $p = q$.
- (ii) $D(p, q) = D(q, p)$ for all $p, q \in G$
- (iii) $D(p, q) \star D(q, r) \leq D(p, r)$ for all $p, q, r \in G$

This notion of probabilistic distance naturally leads to associated metric concepts, such as Cauchy sequence and completeness.

Definition 5. In a a probabilistic metric space (G, D, \star) , a sequence $(z_n) \subset G$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n, p \rightarrow +\infty} D(z_n, z_p)(t) = \mathcal{H}_0(t).$$

(Equivalently, if $D(z_n, z_p) \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{H}_0$, when $n, p \rightarrow +\infty$). A probabilistic metric space (G, D, \star) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $(z_n) \subset G$ weakly converges to some $z_\infty \in G$, that is $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} D(z_n, z_\infty)(t) = \mathcal{H}_0(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we will briefly note $\lim_n D(z_n, z_\infty) = \mathcal{H}_0$.

Examples 1. Every complete metric space induce a probabilistic complete metric space. Indeed, if d is a complete metric on G and \star is a triangle function on Δ^+ satisfying $\mathcal{H}_a \star \mathcal{H}_b = \mathcal{H}_{a+b}$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ (see the example in the formula 1 below and references [12] and [4]), then (G, D, \star) where,

$$D(p, q) = \mathcal{H}_{d(p, q)}, \quad \forall p, q \in G,$$

is a probabilistic complete metric space.

2.2. Lévy distance, weak convergence and compactness.

Definition 6. Let F and G be in Δ^+ , let h be in $(0, 1]$, and let $A(F, G; h)$ denote the condition

$$0 \leq G(t) \leq F(t+h) + h$$

for all $t \in (0, h^{-1})$. The modified Lévy distance is the map d_L defined on $\Delta^+ \times \Delta^+$ as

$$d_L(F, G) = \inf\{h : \text{both } A(F, G; h) \text{ and } A(G, F; h) \text{ hold}\}.$$

Note that for any F and G in Δ^+ , both $A(F, G; 1)$ and $A(G, F; 1)$ hold, whence d_L is well-defined and $d_L(F, G) \leq 1$.

Recall from [17] that the map $F \mapsto d_L(F, \mathcal{H}_0)$ is non-increasing, that is

$$F, G \in \Delta^+, F \leq G \implies d_L(G, \mathcal{H}_0) \leq d_L(F, \mathcal{H}_0).$$

We also recall the following results due to D. Sibley in [20, Theorem 1. and Theorem 2].

Lemma 1. ([20]) *The function d_L is a metric on Δ^+ and (Δ^+, d_L) is compact.*

Lemma 2. ([20]) *Let (F_n) be a sequence of functions in Δ^+ , and let F be an element of Δ^+ . Then (F_n) converges weakly to F if and only if $d_L(F_n, F) \rightarrow 0$, when $n \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Definition 7. Let (G, D, \star) be a probabilistic metric space. For $x \in G$ and $t > 0$, the strong t -neighborhood of x is the set

$$N_x(t) = \{y \in G : D(x, y)(t) > 1 - t\},$$

and the strong neighborhood system for G is $\{N_x(t); x \in G, t > 0\}$.

Lemma 3. ([12]) *Let $t > 0$ and $x, y \in G$. Then we have $y \in N_x(t)$ if and only if $d_L(D(x, y), \mathcal{H}_0) < t$.*

Definition 8. A complete probabilistic metric space (K, D, \star) is called compact if for all $t > 0$, the open cover $\{N_x(t) : x \in K\}$ has a finite subcover.

Proposition 1. ([8, Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3]) *Let (K, D, \star) be a complete probabilistic metric space. Then, we have:*

- (1) *(K, D, \star) is compact if and only if every sequence has a convergent subsequence.*
- (2) *If (K, D, \star) is compact, then (K, D, \star) is separable.*

3. Some Properties of Probabilistic continuous and 1-Lipschitz map

We recall from [1] the notion of probabilistic Lipschitz maps and probabilistic continuous functions defined from a probabilistic metric space into Δ^+ .

Definition 9. ([1]) *Let (G, D, \star) be a probabilistic metric space and let f be a function $f : G \rightarrow \Delta^+$.*

(1) *We say that f is continuous at $z \in G$ if $f(z_n) \xrightarrow{w} f(z)$, when $D(z_n, z) \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{H}_0$. We say that f is continuous if f is continuous at each point $z \in G$.*

(2) *We say that f is a probabilistic 1-Lipschitz map if:*

$$\forall x, y \in G, D(x, y) \star f(y) \leq f(x).$$

We can also define k -Lipschitz maps for any nonnegative real number $k \geq 0$ as the maps f satisfying

$$\forall x, y \in G, D_k(x, y) \star f(y) \leq f(x),$$

where, for all $x, y \in G$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $D_k(x, y)(t) = D(x, y)(\frac{t}{k})$ if $k > 0$ and $D_0(x, y)(t) = \mathcal{H}_0(t)$ if $k = 0$. For sake of simplicity, we shall only treat in this paper the case of 1-Lipschitz maps, but our main result could be easily extended to this more general setting.

Examples 2. Let (G, d) be a metric space. Assume that \star is a triangle function on Δ^+ satisfying $\mathcal{H}_a \star \mathcal{H}_b = \mathcal{H}_{a+b}$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ (for example if $\star = \star_T$ where T is a left-continuous triangular norm). Let (G, D, \star) be the probabilistic metric space defined with the probabilistic metric

$$D(p, q) = \mathcal{H}_{d(p, q)}.$$

Let $L : (G, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real-valued map. Then, L is a non-negative 1-Lipschitz map if and only if $f : (G, D, \star) \rightarrow \Delta^+$ defined for all $x \in G$ by

$$f(x) := \mathcal{H}_{L(x)}$$

is a probabilistic 1-Lipschitz map. This example shows that the framework of probabilistic 1-Lipschitz maps encompasses the classical determinist case.

By $C_\star(G, \Delta^+)$ we denote the space of all (probabilistic) continuous functions $f : G \rightarrow \Delta^+$. We equip the space $C_\star(G, \Delta^+)$ with the following metric

$$d_\infty(f, g) := \sup_{x \in G} d_L(f(x), g(x))$$

where d_L denotes the modified Lévy distance on Δ^+ .

By $Lip_\star^1(G, \Delta^+)$ we denote the space of all probabilistic 1-Lipschitz maps

$$Lip_\star^1(G, \Delta^+) := \{f : G \rightarrow \Delta^+ / D(x, y) \star f(y) \leq f(x); \forall x, y \in G\}.$$

For all $x \in G$, by δ_x we denote the map

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_x : G &\longrightarrow \Delta^+ \\ y &\longmapsto D(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

We set $\mathcal{G}(G) := \{\delta_x / x \in G\}$ and by δ , we denote the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : G &\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(G) \subset Lip_\star^1(G, \Delta^+) \\ x &\longmapsto \delta_x. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition gives a canonical way to build probabilistic Lipschitz maps.

Proposition 2. *Let (G, D, \star) be a probabilistic metric space such that \star is sup-continuous. Let $f : (G, D, \star) \rightarrow \Delta^+$ be any map and A be any no-empty subset of G . Then, the map $\tilde{f}_A(x) := \sup_{y \in A} [f(y) \star D(x, y)]$, for all $x \in G$ is a probabilistic 1-Lipschitz map and we have $\tilde{f}_A(x) \geq f(x)$, for all $x \in A$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the standard inf-convolution construction. The fact that $\tilde{f}_A(x) \geq f(x)$ for all $x \in A$ is immediate from the definition of \tilde{f}_A . Let us now prove that it is probabilistic 1-Lipschitz. Let $x, y \in G$. Then, for all $z \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_A(y) &= \sup_{z \in A} [f(z) \star D(y, z)] \geq f(z) \star D(y, z) \\ &\geq f(z) \star (D(y, x) \star D(x, z)) = (f(z) \star D(x, z)) \star D(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

We get the conclusion by taking the supremum with respect to $z \in A$. \square

Proposition 3. ([1, Proposition 3.6]) *Let (G, D, \star) be a probabilistic metric space such that \star is continuous. Then, every probabilistic 1-Lipschitz map defined on G is continuous. In other words, we have that $Lip_\star^1(G, \Delta^+) \subset C_\star(G, \Delta^+)$.*

Proposition 4. *Let (G, D, \star) be a probabilistic metric space. Then, the space $(C_\star(G, \Delta^+), d_\infty)$ is a complete metric space.*

Proof. Let (f_n) be a Cauchy sequence in $(C_\star(G, \Delta^+), d_\infty)$. In particular, for each $x \in G$, $(f_n(x))$ is Cauchy in (Δ^+, d_L) which is compact (Lemma 1). Thus, there exists a function $f : G \rightarrow \Delta^+$ such that the sequence (f_n) pointwise converges to f on G (with respect to the metric d_L). It is easy to see that in fact (f_n) uniformly converges to f , since it is Cauchy sequence in $(C_\star(G, \Delta^+), d_\infty)$. We need to prove that f is continuous on G . Let $x \in G$ and (x_k) be a sequence such that $d_L(D(x_k, x), \mathcal{H}_0) \rightarrow 0$, when $k \rightarrow +\infty$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n \geq N_\varepsilon \implies d_\infty(f_n, f) := \sup_{x \in G} d_L(f_n(x), f(x)) \leq \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

Using the continuity of f_{N_ε} , we have that there exists $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$d_L(D(x_k, x), \mathcal{H}_0) \leq \eta(\varepsilon) \implies d_L(f_{N_\varepsilon}(x_k), f_{N_\varepsilon}(x)) \leq \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

Using (2) and (3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(f(x_k), f(x)) &\leq d_L(f(x_k), f_{N_\varepsilon}(x_k)) + d_L(f_{N_\varepsilon}(x_k), f_{N_\varepsilon}(x)) + d_L(f_{N_\varepsilon}(x), f(x)) \\ &\leq 3\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

This shows that f is continuous on G . Finally, we proved that every Cauchy sequence (f_n) uniformly converges to a continuous function f . In other words, the space $(C_\star(G, \Delta^+), d_\infty)$ is complete. \square

4. The main result: Probabilistic Arzela-Ascoli theorem

The following theorem is the main result of the paper. Its proof will be given after some intermediate lemmas.

Theorem 1. *Let (K, D, \star) be a probabilistic complete metric space such that \star is continuous and sup-continuous. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) (K, D, \star) is compact,
- (2) the metric space $(Lip_\star^1(K, \Delta^+), d_\infty)$ is compact (equivalently, $Lip_\star^1(K, \Delta^+)$ is a compact subset of $(C_\star(K, \Delta^+), d_\infty)$).

Lemma 4. *Let (G, D, \star) be a probabilistic metric space such that \star is continuous. Then, the set $Lip_\star^1(G, \Delta^+)$ is uniformly equicontinuous. In other words:
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \eta(\varepsilon) > 0 : \forall f \in Lip_\star^1(G, \Delta^+), \forall x, y \in G;$*

$$d_L(D(x, y), \mathcal{H}_0) < \eta(\varepsilon) \implies d_L(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Since (Δ^+, d_L) is a compact metric space (see Lemma 1) and \star is continuous, then \star is uniformly continuous from $\Delta^+ \times \Delta^+$ into Δ^+ . It follows that
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \eta(\varepsilon) > 0 : \forall F \in \Delta^+, \forall x, y \in G :$

$$d_L(D(x, y), \mathcal{H}_0) < \eta(\varepsilon) \implies d_L(D(x, y) \star F, F) < \varepsilon.$$

In particular, we have for all $f \in Lip_\star^1(G, \Delta^+)$ and all $x, y \in G$,

$$\max[d_L(D(x, y) \star f(x), f(x)), d_L(D(x, y) \star f(y), f(y))] < \varepsilon. \quad (4)$$

We are going to prove that

$$d_L(f(x), f(y)) \leq \max[d_L(D(x, y) \star f(x), f(x)), d_L(D(x, y) \star f(y), f(y))].$$

Let $h_1, h_2 > 0$ be such that $A(D(x, y) \star f(x), f(x), h_1)$, $A(f(x), D(x, y) \star f(x), h_1)$, $A(D(x, y) \star f(y), f(y), h_2)$ and $A(f(y), D(x, y) \star f(y), h_2)$ hold, which means that for all $t \in (0, h_1^{-1})$ and all $t' \in (0, h_2^{-1})$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq D(x, y) \star f(x)(t) &\leq f(x)(t + h_1) + h_1 \\ 0 \leq f(x)(t) &\leq D(x, y) \star f(x)(t + h_1) + h_1 \\ 0 \leq D(x, y) \star f(y)(t') &\leq f(y)(t' + h_2) + h_2 \\ 0 \leq f(y)(t') &\leq D(x, y) \star f(y)(t' + h_2) + h_2 \end{aligned}$$

From the second, the fourth inequalities and the fact that f is 1-Lipschitz, we get that for all $t \in (0, h_1^{-1})$ and all $t' \in (0, h_2^{-1})$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq f(x)(t) &\leq f(y)(t + h_1) + h_1 \\ 0 \leq f(y)(t') &\leq f(x)(t' + h_2) + h_2 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all $s \in (0, \min(h_1^{-1}, h_2^{-1}))$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq f(x)(s) &\leq f(y)(s + \max(h_1, h_2)) + \max(h_1, h_2) \\ 0 \leq f(y)(s) &\leq f(x)(s + \max(h_1, h_2)) + \max(h_1, h_2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that $d_L(f(x), f(y)) \leq \max(h_1, h_2)$ for all $h_1, h_2 > 0$ such that $A(D(x, y) \star f(x), f(x), h_1)$, $A(f(x), D(x, y) \star f(x), h_1)$, $A(D(x, y) \star f(y), f(y), h_2)$ and $A(f(y), D(x, y) \star f(y), h_2)$ hold. This implies that

$$d_L(f(x), f(y)) \leq \max(d_L(D(x, y) \star f(x), f(x)), d_L(D(x, y) \star f(y), f(y))).$$

Using the above inequality and (4), we get the conclusion. \square

We recall the following useful proposition (see [1]).

Proposition 5. ([1, Proposition 3.5]) *Let $(F_n), (L_n), (K_n) \subset (\Delta^+, \star)$. Suppose that*

- (a) *the triangle function \star is continuous,*
- (b) *$F_n \xrightarrow{w} F$, $L_n \xrightarrow{w} L$ and $K_n \xrightarrow{w} K$.*
- (c) *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_n \star L_n \leq K_n$,*
then, $F \star L \leq K$.

Lemma 5. *Let (G, D, \star) be a probabilistic metric space. Let (f_n) be a sequence of 1-Lipschitz maps and L be a subset of G . Suppose that there exists a function f defined on L such that $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{w} f(x)$, when $n \rightarrow +\infty$, for all $x \in L$. Then, f is 1-Lipschitz on L .*

Proof. Since f_n is 1-Lipschitz map for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have, for all $x, y \in L$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$D(x, y) \star f_n(x) \leq f_n(y)$$

Using Proposition 5, we get that for all $x, y \in L$

$$D(x, y) \star f(x) \leq f(y)$$

In other words, f is 1-Lipschitz maps on L . □

Lemma 6. *Let (K, D, \star) be a probabilistic compact metric space and (f_n) be a sequence of 1-Lipschitz maps. Suppose that there exists a 1-Lipschitz map f such that $d_L(f_n(x), f(x)) \rightarrow 0$, when $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for all $x \in K$. Then, (f_n) converges uniformly to f , that is, $d_\infty(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$, when $n \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and, using Lemma 4, let $\eta(\varepsilon)$ be the uniform equicontinuity module of $Lip_\star^1(G, \Delta^+)$. Since (K, D, \star) is compact, there exists a finite set A such that $K = \cup_{a \in A} N_a(\eta(\varepsilon))$. Since $d_L(f_n(a), f(a)) \rightarrow 0$, when $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for all $a \in A$. Then, for each $a \in A$, there exists $P_a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n \geq P_a \implies d_L(f_n(a), f(a)) \leq \varepsilon$$

Since A is finite, we have that

$$n \geq \max_{a \in A} P_a \implies \sup_{a \in A} d_L(f_n(a), f(a)) \leq \varepsilon$$

Thus, for all $x \in K = \cup_{a \in A} N_a(\eta(\varepsilon))$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $x \in N_a(\eta(\varepsilon))$ and so we have that for all $n \geq \max_{a \in A} P_a$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(f_n(x), f(x)) &\leq d_L(f_n(x), f_n(a)) + d_L(f_n(a), f(a)) + d_L(f(a), f(x)) \\ &\leq 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$n \geq \max_{a \in A} P_a \implies d_\infty(f_n, f) := \sup_{x \in K} d_L(f_n(x), f(x)) \leq 3\varepsilon$$

□

We finally need the following result from [1].

Lemma 7. *(see [1, Theorem 3.7]) Let (G, D, \star) be a probabilistic metric space such that \star is sup-continuous and let A be a nonempty subset of G . Let $f : A \rightarrow \Delta^+$ be a probabilistic 1-Lipschitz map. Then, there exists a probabilistic 1-Lipschitz map $\tilde{f} : G \rightarrow \Delta^+$ such that $\tilde{f}|_A = f$.*

Let us now give the proof of our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1. (1) \implies (2) Suppose that (K, D, \star) is compact. Let $(f_n)_n \subset Lip_\star^1(K, \Delta^+)$ be a sequence. We need to show that there exists a subsequence of (f_n) that converges uniformly to some 1-Lipschitz function. Indeed, since K is compact, it is separable, that is, there exists a sequence $(x_k)_k \subset K$ which is dense

in K for the probabilistic metric D . Let us denote $L := \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We know from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 that (Δ^+, w) is a compact metrizable space. Thus, by Tykhonov theorem, the space $(\Delta^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a compact metrizable. Hence, the sequence (f_n) has a subsequence $(f_{\varphi(n)})$ that converges pointwise on L to some function f , necessarily 1-Lipschitz map on L by Lemma 5. By Lemma 7 and Proposition 3, f extend to a 1-Lipschitz map \tilde{f} on K , and this extension is unique since L is dense. Let us prove now that $(f_{\varphi(n)})$ converges pointwise on K to \tilde{f} . Indeed, let $x \in K$ and let us choose a subsequence from L denoted also by (x_k) that converges to x , that is $D(x_k, x) \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{H}_0$. Since (f_n) is a sequence of 1-Lipschitz functions, then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_k, x) \star f_{\varphi(n)}(x_k) &\leq f_{\varphi(n)}(x) \\ D(x_k, x) \star f_{\varphi(n)}(x) &\leq f_{\varphi(n)}(x_k) \end{aligned}$$

Since Δ^+ is compact, to show that $(f_{\varphi(n)}(x))$ is convergent sequence, it suffices to prove that any convergent subsequence of $(f_{\varphi(n)}(x))$ converges to the same limit $\tilde{f}(x)$. Indeed, let $f_{\psi(n)}(x)$ be a subsequence of $f_{\varphi(n)}(x)$ that converges to some $g(x) \in \Delta^+$. Using the above inequalities and Proposition 5, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_k, x) \star \tilde{f}(x_k) &\leq g(x) \\ D(x_k, x) \star g(x) &\leq \tilde{f}(x_k) \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact $D(x_k, x) \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{H}_0$, the continuity of \tilde{f} on K and Proposition 5 in the above inequalities, we get that $g(x) = \tilde{f}(x)$. Finally, we proved that there exists a subsequence $(f_{\varphi(n)})$ of (f_n) that converges pointwise on K to some 1-Lipschitz map \tilde{f} . That is, $f_{\varphi(n)}(x) \xrightarrow{w} \tilde{f}(x)$ for all $x \in K$. Using Lemma 6, we get that $(f_{\varphi(n)})$ converges uniformly to \tilde{f} on K .

(2) \Leftarrow (1) Suppose that $(Lip_{\star}^1(K, \Delta^+), d_{\infty})$ is compact. Let (x_n) be a sequence of K . We need to prove that (x_n) has a convergent subsequence. Indeed, consider the sequence (δ_{x_n}) of 1-Lipschitz maps, defined by $\delta_{x_n} : x \mapsto D(x_n, x)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By assumption, there exists a subsequence $(\delta_{x_{\varphi(n)}})$ that converges uniformly to some 1-Lipschitz map, in particular it is a Cauchy sequence. In other words, we have

$$\lim_{p, q \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in K} d_L(\delta_{x_{\varphi(p)}}(x), \delta_{x_{\varphi(q)}}(x)) = 0.$$

In particular we have

$$\lim_{p, q \rightarrow +\infty} d_L(\delta_{x_{\varphi(p)}}(x_{\varphi(q)}), \mathcal{H}_0) = 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\lim_{p, q \rightarrow +\infty} d_L(D(x_{\varphi(p)}, x_{\varphi(q)}), \mathcal{H}_0) = 0.$$

This shows that the sequence $(x_{\varphi(n)})$ is Cauchy in K (see Lemma 2). Thus, the sequence $(x_{\varphi(n)})$ converges to some point $x \in K$, since K is complete. \square

REFERENCES

1. M. Bachir, *The Space of Probabilistic 1-Lipschitz map*, Aequationes Math. (to appear).
2. M. Bachir, *A Banach-Stone type theorem for Invariant Metric Groups*, Topology Appl. 209 (2016) 189-197.
3. S. Cobzas, *Completeness with respect to the probabilistic Pompeiy-Hausdorff metric* Studia Univ. "Babes-Bolyai", Mathematica, Volume LII, Number 3, (2007) 43-65

4. O. Hadžić and E. Pap *Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Space*, vol. 536 of Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001.
5. O. Hadžić and E. Pap *On the Local Uniqueness of the Fixed Point of the Probabilistic q -Contraction in Fuzzy Metric Spaces* Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia (2017), 3453-3458, <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1711453H>
6. E. P. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, *Triangular norms I: Basic analytical and algebraic properties*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 143 (2004), 5-26.
7. E. P. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, *Triangular Norms*. Kluwer, Dordrecht (2000)
8. A. Mbarki, A. Ouahab, R. Naciri, *On Compactness of Probabilistic Metric Space*, Applied Mathematical Sciences Volume(8), (2014) 1703-1710.
9. K. Menger, *Statistical metrics*, Proc. Nat. Acad. of Sci. U.S.A. 28 (1942), 535-537.
10. K. Menger, *Probabilistic geometry*, Pro. Nat. Acad. of Sci. U.S.A. 37 (1951), 226-229.
11. K. Menger, *Géométrie générale* (Chap. VII), Memorial des Sciences Mathématiques, No. 124, Paris 1954.
12. B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic metric spaces*, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics, North-Holland Publishing Co., New York, 1983.
13. B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Espaces métriques aleatoires*, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris 247 (1958), 2092-2094.
14. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific. J. Math. 10, (1960) 313-334.
15. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar *Triangle Inequalities in a Class of Statistical Metric Spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. 38 (1963), 401-406.
16. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar and E. Thorp, *The metrization of statistical metric svaces*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 673-675.
17. B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *probabilistic metric spaces*, North-Holland, New York(1983).
18. H. Sherwood *On E-Spaces and their Relation to Other Classes of Probabilistic Metric Spaces* J. London Math. Soc. s1-44, (1969) 441-448
19. H. Sherwood *Complete probabilistic metric spaces* Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 20, (1971) 117-128.
20. D. A. Sibley *A metric for weak convergence of distribution functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 1 (1971) 427-430.

LABORATOIRE SAMM 4543, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 1 PANTHÉON-SORBONNE, CENTRE P.M.F. 90
RUE TOLBIAC, 75634 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE

E-mail address: Mohammed.Bachir@univ-paris1.fr

E-mail address: Bruno.Nazaret@univ-paris1.fr