

A useful lemma for Lagrange multiplier rules in infinite dimension.

Mohammed Bachir, Joël Blot

▶ To cite this version:

Mohammed Bachir, Joël Blot. A useful lemma for Lagrange multiplier rules in infinite dimension.. 2015. hal-01173774

HAL Id: hal-01173774 https://paris1.hal.science/hal-01173774v1

Preprint submitted on 7 Jul 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A usufel lemma for Lagrange multiplier rules in infinite dimension.

Mohammed Bachir and Joël Blot

July 7, 2015

Laboratoire SAMM 4543, Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, Centre P.M.F. 90 rue Tolbiac 75634 Paris cedex 13

Email: Mohammed. Bachir@univ-paris1.fr

Laboratoire SAMM 4543, Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, Centre P.M.F. 90 rue Tolbiac 75634 Paris cedex 13

Email: blot@univ-paris1.fr

Abstract. We give some reasonable and usable conditions on a sequence of norm one in a dual banach space under which the sequence does not converges to the origin in the w^* -topology. These requirements help to ensure that the Lagrange multipliers are nontrivial, when we are interested for example on the infinite dimensional infinite-horizon Pontryagin Principles for discrete-time problems.

Keyword, phrase: Baire category theorem, Subadditive and continuous map, Multiplier rules.

2010 Mathematics Subject: 54E52, 49J21.

1 Introduction.

Let Z be a Banach space and Z^* its topological dual. It is well known that in infinite dimensional separable Banach space, it is always true that the origin in Z^* is the w^* -limit of a sequence from the unit sphere S_{Z^*} as it is in its w^* -closure. In this paper, we look about reasonable and usable conditions on a sequence of norm one in Z^* such that this sequence does not converge to the origin in the w^* -topology. This situation has the interest, when we are looking for a nontrivial Lagrange multiplier for optimization problems, and was encountered several times in the literature. See for example [1] and [3]. To guarantee that the multiplier are nontrivial at the limit, the authors in [3] used the following lemma from [[2], pp. 142, 135].

Definition 1. A subset Q of a Banach space Z is said to be of finite codimension in Z if there exists a point z_0 in the closed convex hull of Q such that the closed vector space generated by $Q-z_0:=\{q-z_0|\ q\in Q\}$ is of finite codimension in Z and the closed convex hull of $Q-z_0$ has a no empty interior in this vector space.

Lemma 1. ([2], pp. 142, 135) Let $Q \subset Z$ be a subset of finite codimension in Z. Let $(f_k)_k \subset Z^*$ and $\epsilon_k \geq 0$ and $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ such that

- i) $||f_k|| \ge \delta > 0$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_k \stackrel{w^*}{\to} f$.
- ii) for all $z \in Q$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_k(z) \geq -\epsilon_k$.

Then, $f \neq 0$.

Note that, this is not the most general situation. Indeed, one can meet as in [1], a situation where the part ii) of the above lemma is not uniform on $z \in Z$, and depends on other parameter as follows: for all $z \in \overline{co}(Q)$, there exists $C_z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_k(z) \geq -\epsilon_k C_z$. The principal Lemma 4 that we propose in this paper, will permit to include this very useful situation. This lemma is based on the Baire category theorem.

2 Preliminary Lemmas.

We need the following classical lemma. We denote by Int(A) the topological interior of a set A.

Lemma 2. Let C be a convex subset of a normed vector space. Let $x_0 \in Int(C)$ and $x_1 \in \overline{C}$. Then, for all $\alpha \in]0,1]$, we have $\alpha x_0 + (1-\alpha)x_1 \in Int(C)$.

We deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $(F, \|.\|_F)$ be a Banach space and C be a closed convex subset of F with non empty interior. Suppose that $D \subset C$ is a closed subset of C with no empty interior in $(C, \|.\|_F)$ (for the topology induced by C). Then, the interior of D is non empty in $(F, \|.\|_F)$.

Proof. On one hand, there exists x_0 such that $x_0 \in Int(C)$. On the other hand, since D has no empty interior in $(C, \|.\|_F)$, there exists $x_1 \in D$ and $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that $B_F(x_1, \epsilon_1) \cap C \subset D$. By using Lemma 2, $\forall \alpha \in]0, 1]$, we have $\alpha x_0 + (1 - \alpha)x_1 \in Int(C)$. Since $\alpha x_0 + (1 - \alpha)x_1 \to x_1$ when $\alpha \to 0$, then there exist some small α_0 and an integer number $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $B_F(\alpha_0 x_0 + (1 - \alpha_0)x_1, \frac{1}{N}) \subset B(x_1, \epsilon_1) \cap C \subset D$. Thus D has a non empty interior in F.

3 The principal Lemma.

We give now our principlal lemma. We denote by $\overline{co}(X)$ the closed convex hull of X.

Lemma 4. Let Z be a Banach space. Let $(p_n)_n$ be a sequence of subadditive and continuous map on Z and $(\lambda_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ be a sequence of nonegative real number such that $\lambda_n \to 0$. Let A be a non empty subset of Z, $a \in \overline{co}(A)$ and $F := \overline{span(A-a)}$ the closed vector space generated by A. Suppose that $\overline{co}(A-a)$ has no empty interior in F and that

(1) for all $z \in \overline{co}(A)$, there exists $C_z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$p_n(z) \le C_z \lambda_n$$
.

(2) for all $z \in F$, $\limsup_{n} p_n(z) \le 0$.

Then, for all bounded subset B of F, we have

$$\limsup_{n} \left(\sup_{h \in B} p_n(h) \right) \le 0.$$

Proof. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$F_m := \{ z \in \overline{co}(A) : p_n(z) \le m\lambda_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

The sets F_m are closed subsets of Z. Indeed,

$$F_m = \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n^{-1}(]-\infty, m\lambda_n]\right) \cap (\overline{co}(A))$$

where, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_n^{-1}(]-\infty, m\lambda_n])$ is a closed subset of Z by the continuity of p_n . On the other hand, we have $\overline{co}(A) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} F_m$. Indeed, let $z \in \overline{co}(A)$, there exists $C_z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p_n(z) \leq C_z\lambda_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $C_z \leq 0$, then $z \in F_0$. If $C_z > 0$, it suffices to take $m_1 := [C_z] + 1$ where $[C_z]$ denotes the floor of C_z to have that $z \in F_{m_1}$. We deduce then that $F_m - a$ are closed and that $\overline{co}(A) - a = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (F_m - a)$. Using the Baire Theorem on the complete metric space $(\overline{co}(A) - a, \|.\|_F)$, we get an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F_{m_0} - a$ has no empty interior in $(\overline{co}(A) - a, \|.\|_F)$. Since by hypothesis $\overline{co}(A) - a$ has no empty interior in F, using Lemma 3 to obtain that $F_{m_0} - a$ has no empty interior in F. So there exists $z_0 \in F_{m_0} - a$ and some integer number $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $B_F(z_0, \frac{1}{N}) \subset F_{m_0} - a$. In other words, for all $z \in B_F(b, \frac{1}{N}) \subset F_{m_0}$ (with $b := a + z_0 \in F_{m_0} \subset F$) and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$p_n(z) \le m_0 \lambda_n. \tag{1}$$

Let now B a bounded subset of F, there exists an integer number $K_B \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $B \subset B_F(0, K_B)$. On the other hand, for all $h \in B$, there exists $z_h \in B_F(b, \frac{1}{N})$ such that $h = K_B.N(z_h - b)$. So using (1) and the subadditivity of p_n , we obtain that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$p_n(h) = p_n(K_B N(z_h - b)) \le K_B N p_n(z_h - b)$$

 $\le K_B N(p_n(z_h) + p_n(-b))$
 $\le K_B N m_0 \lambda_n + K_B N p_n(-b).$

On passing to the supremum on B, we obtain for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{h \in B} p_n(h) \le K_B N m_0 \lambda_n + K_B N p_n(-b).$$

Since $\lambda_n \longrightarrow 0$, we have

$$\limsup_{n} \left(\sup_{h \in B} p_n(h) \right) \leq K_B N \limsup_{n} p_n(-b) \leq 0.$$

This concludes the proof.

As an immediat consequence, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Let Z be a Banach space. Let $(f_n)_n \subset Z^*$ be a sequence of linear and continuous functionnals on Z and let $(\lambda_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\lambda_n \to 0$. Let A be a no empty subset of Z, $a \in \overline{co}(A)$ and $F := \overline{span}(A-a)$ the closed vector space generated by A. Suppose that $\overline{co}(A-a)$ (= $\overline{co}(A)-a$) has no empty interior in F and that

(1) for all $z \in \overline{co}(A)$, there exists a real number C_z such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$f_n(z) \leq C_z \lambda_n$$
.

(2) $f_n \stackrel{w^*}{\to} 0$.

Then, $||(f_n)||_F ||_{F^*} \to 0$.

Proof. The proof follows Lemma 4 with the subadditive and continuous maps f_n and the bounded set $B := S_{F^*}$.

In the following corollary, the inequality in ii) depends on $z \in Z$ unlike in [2] where the inequality is uniformly independent on z. Note also that if C_z does not depend on z, the condition ii) is also true by replacing: for all $z \in \overline{co}(Q)$ by for all $z \in Q$.

Corollary 2. Let $Q \subset Z$ be a subset of finite codimension in Z. Let $(f_k)_k \subset Z^*$ and $\epsilon_k \geq 0$ and $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ such that

- i) $||f_k|| \ge \delta > 0$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f_k \stackrel{w^*}{\to} f$.
- ii) for all $z \in \overline{co}(Q)$, there exists $C_z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_k(z) \ge -\epsilon_k C_z$. Then, $f \ne 0$.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that f = 0. By applying Corollary 1 to Q and $-f_k$, we obtain $\|(f_k)_{|F}\|_{F^*} \to 0$ where $F := \overline{span(Q-z_0)}$. Since F is of finite codimension in Z, there exists a finite-dimensional subspace E of Z, such that $Z = F \oplus E$. Thus, there exists L > 0 such that

$$||f_k||_Z \le L \left(||(f_k)|_E ||_{E^*} + ||(f_k)|_F ||_{F^*} \right).$$

Then, using i) we obtain $\lim_k \|(f_k)_{|E}\|_{E^*} \geq \frac{\delta}{L}$. Since the weak-star topology and the norm topology coincids on E because of finite dimension, we have that $0 = \|(f)_{|E}\|_{E^*} = \lim_k \|(f_k)_{|E}\|_{E^*} \geq \frac{\delta}{L} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $f \neq 0$.

References

- [1] M. Bachir, J. Blot Infinite Dimensional Infinite-horizon Pontryagin Principles for Discrete-time Problems, Set-Valued Var. Anal. 23 (2015) 43-54
- [2] X. Li, J.M. Yong, Optimal Control Theory for Infinite Dimensional Systems, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995.
- [3] M. McAsey, L. Mou A multiplier rule on a metric space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 337 (2008) 1064-1071.